

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
V.	§	<b>CASE NO. 1:15-CR-78</b>
	§	
	§	
JAVEUIN JAVON AMES	§	

# REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON DEFENDANT'S COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, this criminal proceeding is before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge upon referral from the District Court. The Court now enters its recommendation that the District Court find the defendant, Javeuin Javon Ames, competent to proceed.

### A. <u>Procedural Background and the Forensic Psychologist's Report</u>

On July 27, 2015, pursuant to the motion of counsel, the undersigned entered an order directing the mental examination of the defendant to determine his competency to stand trial (doc. #24). In that order, the Court directed that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for placement in a suitable facility for an examination by a psychiatrist or psychologist to determine whether, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241, he is suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering him mentally incompetent to the extent he is unable to understand the

nature and consequences of the proceedings against him or to assist properly in his defense.

The Court received notification from the warden at the Federal Detention Center in Houston, Texas, that a psychological evaluation had been completed pursuant to this Court's order. Tennille Warren-Phillips, Psy.D., licensed psychologist, issued her report including her professional opinion stating that Mr. Ames does not appear to suffer from a mental disease or defect rendering him unable to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings against him or to properly assist in his defense. She therefore stated that he is competent to proceed.

The competency report, which reflects the examiner's method of evaluation and opinion in detail and sets forth the corresponding psychological findings and recommendations, was filed in the record under seal and provided to both the defendant's attorney and the attorney for the Government. On November 12, 2015, the Court conducted a competency hearing to address the findings set forth in the psychological report. Neither party objected to the report or the examiner's findings.

#### B. Conclusion and Recommendation

Accordingly, based upon the opinion issued by the forensic psychological examiner and the agreement of the parties, the undersigned recommends that defendant, Javeuin Javon Ames, be found competent to proceed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241.

#### C. Objections

Objections must be: (1) specific, (2) in writing, and (3) served and filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this report. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party's failure to object bars that party from: (1) entitlement to *de novo* review by a district judge of proposed findings and recommendations, *see Rodriguez v. Bowen*, 857 F.2d 275, 276-77 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988), and

(2) appellate review, except on grounds of plain error of unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court, *see Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass 'n.*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996) (en banc). The constitutional safeguards afforded by Congress and the courts require that, when a party takes advantage of his right to object to a magistrate's findings or recommendation, a district judge must exercise its nondelegable authority by considering the actual evidence and not merely by reviewing and blindly adopting the magistrate judge's report and recommendation. *See Hernandez v. Estelle*, 711 F.2d 619, 620 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983); *United States v. Elsoffer*, 644 F.2d 357, 359 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981) (per curiam).

SIGNED this the 12nd day of November, 2015.

KEITH F. GIBLIN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

m F. Siti